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Objective: There is a clear need to develop psychosocial rehabilitation methods that compensate for neurocognitive deficits common to persons with severe and persistent mental illness. Errorless training, a compensatory training intervention, has been successful in teaching entry-level job tasks. However, errorless learning's applicability to broader, more complex functions is unknown. The present study tested the extension of errorless learning for deficits in social problem-solving skills in patients with schizophrenia.

Methods: Sixty clinically stable outpatients with schizophrenia or schizophreniform disorder were stratified by greater and level of memory impairment before being randomly assigned to one of two training programs: errorless learning or symptom-management. Groups were matched for training time, forest and structure of training, and types of teaching aids used.

Social problem-solving ability, measured by the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills, was assessed at baseline, within 2 days of training completion, and after 3 months. Dependent measures were the scores for the receiving, processing, and sending skills areas from the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills.

Results: A repeated measures analysis of covariance was conducted for each dependent measure with baseline Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills score entered as a covariate. For all three skills, there was a significant training group effect favoring errorless learning. Durability of errorless learning training effects extended to the 3-month follow-up assessment for processing and sending skills but not receiving skills.

Conclusions: Results support the extension of errorless learning to complex functions such as social problem-solving skills in the rehabilitation of patients with schizophrenia.

More than 60 studies have provided empirical support for a relationship between neurocognition and community functioning among persons with schizophrenia (1). These findings emphasize the role of neurocognition, more than psychotic symptoms, in cross-sectional and prospective links to functional outcome. The neurocognitive functions most frequently implicated involve learning and memory, attention, and reasoning and problem-solving abilities. Despite this extensive knowledge base, few efforts have attempted to apply this knowledge to develop more effective rehabilitation interventions for persons with schizophrenia (2).

Errorless learning is a training approach designed to compensate for impairments in neuropsychological skills that impede or restrict skill acquisition. Errorless learning is based on a theoretically and empirically driven model, which proposes that learning occurring in the absence of errors is stronger and more durable. First introduced as an alternative to trial-and-error learning (3), errorless learning has been used extensively with the developmentally disabled and other neurologically impaired groups to teach new skills and curb maladaptive behavior (4-7).

However, applications of errorless learning to schizophrenic patients have only appeared recently (6, 9).

Among the neurocognitive disturbances common to schizophrenia, impairments in learning may be the most severe (10-12). Patient performance on tasks involving learning and recall of lists and short passages is typically poorer compared with normal subjects (13, 14), and their performance is marked by perseverative and intrusion errors (15). Performance is also compromised by problems in the ability to self-correct (i.e., using feedback to correct past mistakes and guide subsequent behavior), a disturbance prevalent in both neurocognitive test performance and clinical behavior (16, 17). It is likely that a psychosocial intervention that bypasses problems associated with error commission would hold promise for persons with schizophrenia.

Errorless learning has four components: 1) The to-be-learned task is broken down into component parts. 2) Training begins on simple tasks that have a high likelihood of success. 3) Training proceeds through hierarchically ordered exercises in which the tasks are gradually made more difficult. High levels of proficiency are achieved at each level by using multiple instructional aids.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Syndrome Management (N=31)</th>
<th>Errorless Learning (N=25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving atypical antipsychotics</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (year)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years since first hospitalization</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of memory impairment</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale Total</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive symptoms</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative symptoms</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by subject's scores on the first three trials of the California verbal learning test (lower scores indicate greater memory impairment.

(e.g., prompts, visual cues, guided instruction) 4) Performance within each component is our learned through repetitive, successful practice and a rich schedule of positive reinforcement. Errorless learning shares methodological features with other rehabilitation training approaches (e.g., cognitive rehabilitation training, behavioral shaping) (18–20), but it differs conceptually in its view of the significance of errors on learning and differs methodologically by inclusion of training procedures specifically tailored to prevent mistakes from occurring.

The application of errorless learning to psychiatric patients has relied on an extensive literature on developmental disabilities. From this literature, errorless learning has been shown to be a successful teaching approach for children with severe cognitive impairment. However, its extensions to broader aspects of human behavior have been limited. Two of the more prominent criticisms of errorless learning include 1) that it is geared for training on simple tasks but not complex ones, and 2) that training success is tied to improvement on the trained-on task and, with few exceptions, does not extend to other similar tasks (21). Although these limitations have been uncovered with developmental disabilities, it is not known whether the same problems apply to schizophrenia. Previous effort from our lab demonstrated that errorless learning could be successfully applied to teaching entry-level job tasks in a group of schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder outpatients, but the aforementioned limitations were not tested (20). The tasks in that study were relatively simple, and training took place on the to-be-learned task. For the present study, our aims were two-fold: 1) develop a set of errorless learning training procedures for highly complex functions (social problem-solv

### Method

#### Subjects

Sixty subjects from the VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare Center and the San Fernando Mental Health Center who met DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder participated. Psychiatric diagnosis was determined following admission of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV for Schizophrenia, Schizoaffective Disorder, Patient Edition (SCID-P) (22) by an interviewer trained to use the SCID-P by the Diagnosis and Psychopathology Unit of the UCLA Clinical Research Center for the Study of Schizophrenia. A minimum kappa of 0.75 for rating the presence of psychotic and mood item items required for certification. Subjects were clinically stable outpatients (no psychiatric hospitalizations in the past 6 months, same antipsychotic medication for past 3 months). Exclusion criteria were evidence of current or past neurological disorders, seizure, current psychosis, or major depression. Based on these criteria, 11 subjects were not included in the study but were left to the discretion of the subjects' treating physician. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the subjects. After complete description of the study to subjects, written informed consent was obtained.

#### Procedure

Gender and memory status are variables associated with differential performance levels on measures of social skill and problem-solving ability (23, 24). To ensure baseline equivalency, subjects were stratified on these variables before being randomly assigned to their training group (errorless learning or syndrome management). Level of memory impairment (high versus low) was determined by subjects' scores on the first three trials of the California Verbal Learning Test (25). On the basis of previous data with a similar sample (26), a deviant score of 22.5 was selected. For each subject, a slip of paper denoting group assignment was kept in a sealed envelope until baseline assessments were completed and classification and according to stratified variables was determined. To ensure proportionality, a separate set of randomization numbers was used for each of the four classification cells (i.e., male/high memory impairment; female/high memory impairment; male/low memory impairment; female/low memory impairment). Thus, for every 11 subjects per cell there was a 50% chance of assignment to errorless learning versus syndrome management.

The groups were equivalent for total training time, group structure and format, and type of instructional aids used. Training, in groups of six to eight subjects with one instructor and two assistants, took place over 8 hours, split over 2 days. For both groups, training included didactic and videotape instruction, modeling and social reinforcement, role-play exercises, and in-class written assignments. To ensure that those procedures were implemented consistently, a fidelity checklist was completed during each session noting the use.

Social problem-solving ability was assessed at baseline (prior to group assignment), 0–2 days after training, and 3 months later with the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills. This instrument is a measure of social problem-solving ability well-validated in studies of schizophrenia (27, 28). It includes each of the following presentations of 13 scores involving various social situations. Test
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of the scenes depict a social conflict (e.g.,roommate disagreements, being possessed by a rock-climb) that do not. Subjects’ skills are assessed in three areas: 1) receiving (i.e., identifying the presence/absence of a problem), 2) processing (i.e., generating a solution), and 3) sending (i.e., demonstrating the solution via role-play). The test requires 45-60 minutes administration time. Subject responses were recorded via video/audio transcription; the role play exercises were video taped. Transcripts and videotapes were scored by two independent group assignment and item time administrators.

The principal investigator's training of those scoring the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills involved didactic instruction and practice scoring until competency, set at a Kappa of 0.80, was established. Inter-rater reliability with the principal investigators was maintained after training yielded kappas of 0.80 and 0.90. These levels compare with previous reports of Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills interrater reliability (26). Psychiatric symptoms, the 24-item Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS), were assessed within 2 weeks before training by an interviewer trained to a minimum interclass correlation coefficient of 0.60 by the Diagnostic and Psychopathology Unit of the UCLA Clinical Research Center for the study of schizophrenia. Following training, participants evaluated their enthusiasm and knowledge of the primary trainer (e.g., by completing a 10-point Likert scale. Fifty-two of the 52 subjects were available for retest at the 3-month follow-up assessment. Few from the symptom management group and those from the untrained learning group were unsuitable.

Errors Learning

On the basis of previous conceptual models of social problem solving skills (31, 32), three skill areas were selected as targets for training: 1) identifying the presence/absence of a problem (receiving skills), 2) generating an appropriate solution (processing skills), and 3) sending a solution (role-plays). Following traditional errors learning procedures, errors were minimized during training by beginning on simpler problems associated with a high likelihood of success and gradually transitioning to more complex ones. Within each skill area, training proceeded to the next unit after the necessary provided instruction about the he-she-trained skill. The target behavior or skill was modeled (via the primary trainer) or via on-demand developed training videotape, subjects repeatedly practiced performing the skill with the aid of cues or prompts, and then subjects performed the skill with skill removed. The repeated practice of skills was done to attenuate performance and increase the occurrence of errors during testing procedures when the cues were gradually removed. All to-be-learned skills were trained under a rich schedule of social reinforcement. Scenes from the training videotape differed from those on the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills on that assessment of training performance included applying learned skills to a different social problems (i.e., similar generalization) Because of the desire to include scenes that represented common problems in patients and relevant to their daily lives, there was some overlap in broader scenes (e.g., problems at school or office). Mastery within each skill area was defined as scores in a row greater than 80% of all possible items. Approximately 2 hours of training were devoted to each skill area.

Receiving skills. Training began with identification of key elements (participants, what they were doing, and where the interaction was taking place) in a variety of social scenes presented on the videotape. First, the primary trainer modeled and then subjects practiced identification of key elements. Training then proceeded to identifying goals and obstacles within each scene and tying those to an operational definition for a social problem (i.e., problem-solving approach). For example, in a relationship scene, a man leaves his date to get a sock sock only find someone sitting in his place when he returns. The goal was identified as gusting the best sock, the ubicues being that another man is in there.

Several scenes with and without social problems were viewed un instructed. Two basic solutions were identified: 1) clarifying communication (e.g., to the other person or to the other person to understand one's own perspective. The best solu-tion was to get outside help in those situations when unable to resolve the conflict easily by oneself. The primary trainer explained the types of solutions, providing simple definitions, often as sound bites, to make them easier to understand and remember (e.g., definitions for perspective taking-put self in their shoes). The primary trainer and actors ran the role modeled solutions to problems. Afterwards, subjects practiced selecting and describing the solutions they would use to solve the problems presented on the videotape. Subjects were trained to use all three solutions and to use another solution if the one they initially selected did not solve the problem.

Sending skills. Training for the final skill was divided into verbal and nonverbal components. For the verbal component, the primary trainer modeled and the subject practiced generating statements based on the three propositional solutions previously trained. Early in training, the task was presented as a sentence completion exercise. Subjects were presented with the first few words and then asked to complete the remainder of the sentence. The subject and primary trainer examined subjects' written statements and modifications were made if necessary. Subjects then read aloud their written response before the group. During pilot observations, subjects tended to get off track, say inappropriate things, or say too much despite having appropriate responses modeled for them immediately beforehand. The procedures for this study were developed to enhance the amount of structure and thus minimize the commission of errors when speaking aloud.

For the nonverbal component, the primary trainer modeled and subjects practiced executing each skill while looking into a hand-held mirror and body posture, hand gestures, voice volume, pitch, and rate of speech. Precepted neutral phrases were used for training nonverbal behavior (e.g., The man walked his dog down the street). Active mastery was established for the verbal and nonverbal components, subjects practiced and established mastery to the use of the two together through a series of role-play exercises based on scenes from the videotape.

Symptom Management

Training procedures for the Symptom Management module of the UCSC Social Skills Training Program followed standard guidelines for implementation of the intervention material. Training activities included didactic and videotape instruction, modeling and social reinforcement, within class groupings, and role-play exercises. During the first mod-ule there is a strong problem-solving emphasis. Subjects are taught to identify problems associated with the maintenance of their illness and to formulate interventional solutions to effectively cope with them. For example, it is taught that "labor signs of Be-cap" subjects practice problem-solving in situations in which a relative thinks the subject's irritability is a warning sign (i.e., pre-cursor to symptom exacerbations), but the subject doesn't think so. Problem-solving follows a multidimensional step in which subjects identify and define the problem (reversing skills), generate a list of possible alternatives and weighs the advantages/disadvantages of each (processing skills), and then select the best one and then perform it in a role-play (sending skills).
Subjects’ Evaluations of Trainer

As differential training effects could be, in part, due to group differences in level of satisfaction with training, this potential confounding variable was measured immediately after training by asking the subjects to complete a 10-point Likert scale (1 = extremely negative; 10 = extremely positive). Questions about the trainer’s enthusiasm and knowledge of course material were asked. To enhance objectivity, the forms were completed in the primary trainer’s absence.

Data Analyses

Initially, contrasts were conducted on demographic, chronicity, and symptom measures to examine possible group differences at the time of training. Correlational analyses were performed to examine the relationship between symptoms and baseline social problem-solving ability for the two training groups. For the primary analyses, the three dependent measures from the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills were analyzed separately by using the SAS mixed model procedure with a two by two (group x learning versus symptom management by time [immediately after training versus 3-month follow-up assessment] separated-measures analysis of covariance (24). The SAS mixed model procedure uses maximum likelihood to estimate the parameters of the analysis of variance and does not require complete cases. The baseline Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills score was entered as a covariate. In addition, entity of positive or negative symptom severity was evaluated as an additional covariate depending on the presence of a significant relationship between symptom severity and baseline Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills performance. Effect size was assessed by examining group effect sizes. Availability by time, and interaction effects. Between group contrasts were conducted on subjective ratings of the primary trainer.

Results

There were no differences between groups on any of the demographic or chronicity measures, and the groups were also comparable in their severity of symptoms as assessed during a 2-week window prior to training (range = 45 days). No significant correlations were found between positive symptoms and baseline performance for any of the three skills areas of the Assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills (all p > 0.60), but there was a significant negative correlation between severity of negative symptoms and baseline processing skills (r = 0.28, df = 58, p < 0.03) and sending skills (r = 0.36, df = 56, p < 0.005).

Receiving Skills

A significant effect of training group was revealed (F = 5.45, df = 1, 54, p < 0.03), but there were no time or interaction effects. The errorless learning group showed better overall performance than the symptom management group; performance levels for both groups remained relatively stable over the 3-month follow-up period. Between-group contrasts at the two time points revealed a significant difference in favor of the errorless learning group at the end of training (t = 2.94, df = 49, p < 0.007) that was weaker and nonsignificant at the 3-month follow-up assessment.

Processing Skills

A significant group effect was revealed (Figure 1), but there were no time or interaction effects. The errorless learning group showed better overall performance than the symptom management group; performance levels of both groups remained relatively stable at the time of the 3-month follow-up assessment. Between-group contrasts at the two time points revealed a significant difference in favor of the errorless learning group at the end of training (t = -2.75, df = 49, p < 0.008) that remained significant at the 3-month follow-up assessment (t = -2.26, df = 49, p < 0.03).

Sending Skills

A significant group effect was revealed (F = 9.03, df = 1, 54, p < 0.003). While there was a tendency for time to have an effect (p = 0.11), there was no interaction effect. The errorless learning group showed better overall performance than the symptom management group and the performance levels of both groups showed a modest drop at the 3-month follow-up assessment. Between-group contrasts at the two time points revealed a significant difference in favor of the errorless learning group at the end of training (t = 3.23, df = 49, p < 0.004) that remained significant at the 3-month follow-up assessment (t = -2.04, df = 49, p < 0.05).

Trainer Evaluation

The symptom management and errorless learning groups were highly comparable in their high ratings of the primary trainer’s enthusiasm (mean = 9.06 [SD = 1.46] and 9.37 [SD = 1.41], respectively; t = 0.86, df = 58, p = 0.39) and knowledge of course material (mean = 9.35 [SD = 1.38] and 9.24 [SD = 1.38], t = 0.32, df = 58, p = 0.75). The vast majority of subjects, regardless of group, perceived the trainer as enthusiastic and knowledgeable.

Discussion

The present study tested the extension of errorless learning to a complex rehabilitation target, social problem-solving skills, in a group of clinically stable schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder outpatients. Guided by a background development literature that defined the strengths and weaknesses of errorless learning, previous applications in schizophrenia have been limited to training on tasks that are relatively simple and free of generalization demands (e.g., entry-level job tasks). Attempts to apply errorless learning to complex functions such as social problem-solving skills have been missing, mainly due to practical concerns (e.g., length of training time, tediousness of procedures) and a low expectation for success. In this study, these challenges were met by 1) focusing training on three functions central to social problemsolving skills, 2) simplifying and reducing the number of solutions, and 3) designing training so that these skills learned could be easily applied to different social situations. This resulted in errorless learning training yielding Im-
provement in all three skill areas (recording, processing, and sending), and the gains were maintained up to 3 months later without further intervention.

It is important to note that the study’s main finding is that errorsless learning can be applied to complex behaviors which can be made up of multiple components. Of these data about the superiority of errorsless learning over other training methods for this particular skill, for this initial exploration of errorsless learning, we opted to include a comparison group condition that would serve as a reasonable control for general training effects and one that would mirror the types of functions targeted for training in the errorsless learning condition. Training in the symptom management module addressed receiving, processing, and sending skills involved in patients’ abilities to solve problems related to the management of their illness. Although many of the problems require interpersonal solutions, this module was not selected to be a competitive training intervention. As a logical first step in testing the extension of errorsless learning in schizophrenia patient rehabilitation, we thought it necessary to test the feasibility of the application prior to testing errorsless learning against other competitive training methods specifically designed for social problem-solving skills.

On the basis of our experience with errorsless learning, it is helpful to consider the types of cognitive processing difficulties common to persons with schizophrenia when designing training procedures. Initially, procedures are piloted to determine closeness to an “errorsless” training experience for subjects. Piloting also reveals which process difficulties patients typically experience and clarifies which procedures require adjustment. For example, for training of processing skills we avoided asking subjects to generate multiple alternative solutions and weigh their advantages and disadvantages, a common problem-solving approach in the social skills training modules. Patients have difficulties with this method when problems are complex or involve numerous solution alternatives. This may be due to the putative burden placed on working memory and executive processes. Instead, for the purpose of this study we generated three basic solutions the patients to use that could be applied to a wide variety of social problems. This approach reduced the amount of information to be held on-line and assessed the burden on cognitive resources to filter relevant from irrelevant information and weigh the relative value of multiple solution alternatives.

The mechanism by which errorsless learning works is not fully understood. Previously, we proposed that errorsless learning compensates for neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia by reducing the demands on explicit memory and simultaneously increasing the demands on implicit memory. The emphasis on automating stimulus-response connections in errorsless learning suggests prominent involvement of implicit memory processes. However, at this point there is little empirical evidence to support this proposal.

(35). Data from a previous study in our lab support the idea that errorsless learning reduces demands on explicit memory in learning entry-level job tasks (30), but there is little evidence that errorsless learning increases involvement of implicit memory.

The second study hypothesis concerning durability of training effects was largely supported. There were no time or interaction effects that would indicate a significant drop in performance for the errorsless learning group, and for two of the three skill areas the group effect favoring errorsless learning was maintained at the 3-month follow-up assessment. The automation of learned skills, which minimizes the commission of posttraining mistakes and the need to self-correct, may be a key factor in maintaining stable levels of performance over time. These findings are similar to those found in an earlier study of errorsless learning with the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (37) in which there were no statistically significant drops in performance 4 weeks after training.

The present study attempted to address a number of methodological problems common to interpretation of intervention effects at this stage of testing. To address potential confounds of gender and level of money earned, assignment, subjects were stratified by these variables before being randomly assigned to a group. Both groups were run in small group format with six to eight subjects, one primary trainer (S.M.), and two assistants. Both groups received 6 hours total training time, split over 2 days. The material covered in both groups was taught using the same instructional tools (e.g., role-play exercises). Viewing of videos, etc.). To address the possibility that the trainer was more engaging and enthusiastic in the errorsless learning
group. Subjects in both groups evaluated the primary trader immediately after training. Finally, to guard against varied baseline effects at the start of the study's primary outcome measure, all assessment of Interpersonal Problem-Solving Skills means were scaled by two raters blind to group assignment and order of test administration.

In conclusion, the findings support the extension of errors learning to more complex rehabilitation targets. Given these results, the logical next step would be to test errors learning training as a component in a training approach specifically designed for social problem-solving skills. In keeping with the translational trajectory of this program of research, we hope to be able to extend applications of errors learning from simple, discrete task work to more complex rehabilitation targets and ultimately move from the tightly controlled atmosphere of the laboratory to community mental health and vocational rehabilitation settings. The vision is to extend errors learning applications to a wide range of tasks and then examine whether change on these component skills leads to changes in broader aspects of work functioning, social functioning, and quality of life. Although few psychiatric rehabilitation programs currently use errors learning or other compensatory approaches, these findings support continued testing of the approach in schizophrenia.

References


518

http://aps.psychiatryonline.org

Am J Psychiatry 762 3 April 2005

16. Goldberg TE, Weinerberger DR, Berman KF, Flynn NH, Podd MH: Further evidence for domain of the prefrontal type in schizophrenia? a controlled study of teaching the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1987; 44:1008–1014